

Translation

The following is an English translation of an independent assurance report prepared in Japanese and is for information and reference purposes only. In the event of a discrepancy between the Japanese and English versions, the Japanese version will prevail.

Independent practitioner's assurance report

Ms. Kaga Yanagisawa
President & CEO
Nomura Research Institute, Ltd.

Scope

We have been engaged by Nomura Research Institute, Ltd. (hereafter the "Company") to perform a 'limited assurance engagement,' as defined by International Standards on Assurance Engagements, here after referred to as the engagement, to report on the Company's environment and society data and indices (the "Subject Matter") contained in the Company's "Disclosure of Sustainability Information" on the Company's "Disclosure of Sustainability Information" on the Company's "ESG Databook 2025" (the "Report") for the period from April 1, 2024 to March 31, 2025. The Subject Matter for which assurance procedures were performed has been marked with a (✓) in the relevant sections of the Report.

Other than as described in the preceding paragraph, we did not perform assurance procedures on the remaining information included in the Report, and accordingly, we do not express a conclusion on this information.

Criteria applied by the Company

In preparing the Subject Matter, the Company applied the Criteria (the "Criteria").

The Company's responsibilities

The Company's management is responsible for selecting the Criteria, and for presenting the Subject Matter in accordance with that Criteria, in all material respects. This responsibility includes establishing and maintaining internal controls, maintaining adequate records and making estimates that are relevant to the preparation of the Subject Matter, such that it is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In addition, Greenhouse gas (the "GHG") emissions are estimated using emissions factors, and the scientific knowledge on which such emission factors are based has not been established, thus being subject to inherent uncertainty.

EY's responsibilities

Our responsibility is to express a conclusion on the presentation of the Subject Matter described in the Report based on the evidence we have obtained.

We conducted our engagement in accordance with the *International Standard for Assurance Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information* ('ISAE 3000 (Revised)') and with respect to GHG emissions, the *International Standard on Assurance Engagements: Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements* ('ISAE 3410'), issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, and the terms of reference for this engagement as agreed with the Company on February 3, 2025. Those standards require

that we plan and perform our engagement to express a conclusion on whether anything has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Subject Matter is not prepared in all material respects in accordance with the Criteria. The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgment, including an assessment of the risk of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

We believe that the evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our limited assurance conclusions.

Our independence and quality management

We have maintained our independence and confirm that we have met the requirements of the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants, and have the required competencies and experience to conduct this assurance engagement.

EY also applies International Standard on Quality Management 1, *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Statements, or Other Assurance or Related Services engagements*, which requires that we design, implement and operate a system of quality management including policies or procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Description of procedures performed

Procedures performed in a limited assurance engagement vary in nature and timing from, and are less in extent than for a reasonable assurance engagement. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had a reasonable assurance engagement been performed. Our procedures were designed to obtain a limited level of assurance on which to base our conclusion and do not provide all the evidence that would be required to provide a reasonable level of assurance.

Although we considered the effectiveness of management's internal controls when determining the nature and extent of our procedures, our assurance engagement was not designed to provide assurance on internal controls. Our procedures did not include testing controls or performing procedures relating to checking aggregation or calculation of data within IT systems.

A limited assurance engagement consists of making enquiries, primarily of persons responsible for preparing the Subject Matter and related information, and applying analytical and other appropriate procedures.

Our procedures included:

- Making enquiries regarding the Company's own criteria that it determined with consideration of laws and regulations applicable to the Company, and evaluating the appropriateness thereof;
- Inspecting relevant documents with regard to the design of the Company's internal controls related to the Subject Matter, and enquiring of personnel responsible thereof at the Company and data centers visited (Tokyo Data Center I and Yokohama Data Center II);

- Performing analytical procedures concerning the Subject Matter at the Company and data centers visited (Tokyo Data Center I and Yokohama Data Center II); and
- Testing, on a sample basis, underlying source information, matching indicators with the evidence and conducting relevant re-calculations at the Company and data centers visited (Tokyo Data Center I and Yokohama Data Center II).

We also performed such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

Conclusion

Based on our procedures and the evidence obtained, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Subject Matter of the Company for the period from April 1, 2024 to March 31, 2025 is not prepared in all material respects in accordance with the Criteria.

Kenji Sawami
Mitsuhiro Nagao
Engagement Partners
June 17, 2025
Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC
Tokyo, Japan